

(An International Peer Reviewed Pharmaceutical Journal that Encourages Innovation and Creativities)

# Analytical Method Development and Validation for Simultaneous Estimation of Trifluoperazine, Chlordiazepoxide and Trihexiphenidyl in its Pharmaceutical Dosage form by RP-HPLC

Komal V Patel, Mandev B. Patel, Nishith K. Patel

Department of Quality Assurance, A-One college of Pharmacy, Anasan, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

# ABSTRACT:

A simple, rapid, economical, precise and accurate Reverse phase high performance liquid chromatographic (RP-HPLC) method for simultaneous estimation of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl in Their Combined Dosage Form has been developed. The RP-HPLC method was developed for the simultaneous estimation of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl in their Combined Dosage Form development method has been achieved. The separation was attained by Column LC- 2010 AT C18 (250mm x 4.6 mm x 5  $\mu$ m) and Buffer (pH 3.5) : Acetonitrile :TEA (80:20:0.1 v/v/v) as mobile phase, at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Detection was carried out at Wavelength of 228 nm. Retention time of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl were found to be 3.807 min, 6.887 min and 4.667 min respectively. The method has been validated for linearity, accuracy and precision. Linearity observed for Chlordiazepoxide 5-15  $\mu$ g/ml, for Trifluoperazine HCl 0.5-1.5  $\mu$ g/ml and for Trihexyphenidyl HCl 1-3  $\mu$ g/ml. The Percentage recoveries obtained for Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl were found to be in range of 99.27 ± 1.09, 99.57 ± 0.56 and 99.22 ± 0.51 respectively. Developed method was found to be accurate, precise and rapid for simultaneous estimation of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl in their combined dosage form.

KEY-WORDS: Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl, RP-HPLC, Mobile phase, Validation.

#### Article history:

Received 29 Jun 15 Accepted 11 Jun 15 Available online 01 Nov 2015

#### Citation:

Patel K. V., Patel M. B., Patel N. K. Analytical Method Development and Validation for Simultaneous Estimation of Trifluoperazine, Chlordiazepoxide and Trihexiphenidyl in its Pharmaceutical Dosage form by RP-HPLC. J Pharm Sci Bioscientific Res. 2015 5(6):556-564

\*For Correspondence: Komal V Patel

Student of Quality Assurance, A-One college of Pharmacy, Anasan, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India.

(www.jpsbr.org)

# INTRODUCTION:

The IUPAC name of the Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl is 7-chloro-2-methylamino-5-phenyl-3H-1,4benzodiazepine-4-Oxide, (RS)-1-cyclohexyl-1-phenyl-3-(1-piperidyl)propan-1ol,10-[3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl]-2-(trifluoromethyl)-10H-

phenothiazine,1-dioxide respectively, with molecular formula  $C_{16}H_{14}CIN_3O$ ,  $C_{20}H_{32}NOCI$  and  $C_{21}H_{25}F_3N_3SCI$  respectively and molecular weight 299.75 g/mol,301.466 g/mol and 407.497 g/mol respectively. The molecular structure of the drug is given in Fig.1.

This combinational drug is used in Anxiety and CNS disorder. Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl acts on CNS system. This results in increased binding of the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA to the GABA (A) receptor. This combination is used in Tension, Behavioural disorders, Insomnia, Emotional disturbances, Pre and post operative apprehensions. Chlordiazepoxide is Official in British Pharmacopoeia (2010), US Pharmacopoeia 30 (NF 25), Indian Pharmacopoeia (2010). and Trihexyphenidyl is official in British Pharmacopoeia (2010), US Pharmacopoeia 30 (NF 25) and Trifluoperazine British Pharmacopoeia (2010), US Pharmacopoeia 30 (NF 25). However no analytical method has been reported till date for the estimation of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl using the RP-HPLC method. The present paper describes the analytical method development and validation of estimation of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCI and Trifluoperazine HCl in Pharmaceutical dosage form using RP-HPLC. The proposed method are optimized and validated as per ICH guidelines.

Trihexyphenidyl

Trifluoperazine

Chlordiazepoxide

# Figure 1: Chemical structure

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

HPLC Thermo separation Product TSP UV 2000. Chlordiazepoxide and Trifluoperazine HCl was purchased from GITAR LABORATORY. The commercial fixed dose Trihexyphenidyl HCl was purchased from VAIBHAV LABORATORY. All solvents (HPLC grade) were obtained from Merck Chemicals.

# Working Standard preparation:

Preparation of standard solution of mixtures of CDZ (10  $\mu$ g/mL) TFP (1  $\mu$ g/mL) and THP (2  $\mu$ g/mL):

Take 1 mL from CDZ stock solution,1 mL from TFP stock solution and 1 ml from THP stock solution and transferred to 10 mL volumetric flask and volume made up to the mark by Potassium Buffer which was used in particular trials.

Procedure for derivatization:

- Potassium buffer (pH ~3.5)
- Add 25g Ammonium Acetate in 25 ml water and 38ml HCl, make sure all powers dissolve completely.
- 2. Adjust the pH if necessary with dilute Hydrochloric Acid or dilute Ammonia.
- 3. Dilute to 100.0 ml with water. pH should be around 3.5.
- Std stock soln of CDZ : 10mg-⊡100ml with methanol (100 µg /ml)
- Std stock soln of TFP: 1mg-21000ml with methanol (10 μg /ml)
- Std stock soln of THP: 2mg⊡1000ml with methanol (20 µg /ml)

Take 0.1ml of working STD (CDZ+TFP+THP) to a 10ml volumetric flask. Inject above Solution 20  $\mu$ l.

# Sample preparation:

Working Sample Preparation (CDZ 100  $\mu$ g/mL, TFP 10  $\mu$ g/mL and THP 20  $\mu$ g/mL):

- Take 1 mL from this and transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask and made up volume up to the mark with potassium Buffer. Use This Solution for Derivatization as Mention Below.
- Take 0.1ml of working STD (CDZ+TFP+THP) to a 10ml volumetric flask. Incubate this solution at 50C for 15 minutes in a water bath.

# Method validation:

# Chromatographic conditions and System Suitability Parameters:

# Pumps:

Mode of chromatography: Reversed Phase Chromatography

Mode of Elution: Isocratic

Flow Rate: 1.0 ml/min

Oven:

Oven Temperature: 30° ± 2°C

#### **Detector:**

Type: DAD detector

Lamp: D2 lamp

Wavelength: 228 nm

#### Auto sampler Configuration:

Rinsing Volume: 1000 µl

Sampling speed: 20 µl/sec

#### Other parameters:

Column: C<sub>18</sub> (25cm x 0.46 cm) Hypersil BDS Sample Volume: 20  $\mu l$ 

Mobile Phase: Buffer (potassium pH3.5): acetonitrile: TEA (80:20:0.1 v/v/v)

**Diluent: Methanol** 

#### **System Suitability Parameters:**

Retention time: CDZ (3.807), TFP (4.667), THP

(6.887)

Asymmetry: CDZ (1.360), TFP (1.379), THP (1.350)

Theoretical plates CDZ (7056), TFP (7520), THP (9459)

# Linearity and Range (n=3):

The linearity for CDZ, TFP and THP were assessed by analysis of combined standard solution in range of 5-15 µg/ml, 0.5-1.5 µg/ml and 1-3 µg/ml respectively, solutions were pipette out from the Stock solution of CDZ(10 µg/ml), TFP (1 µg/ml) and THP (2 µg/ml) and transfer to 100 ml volumetric flask and make up with mobile phase to obtain 5,7.5,10,12.5 and 15 µg/ml, 0.5,0.75,1,1.25 and 1.5 µg/ml and 1,1.5,2,2.5 and 3 µg/ml for CDZ, TFP and THP respectively. The plot of peak area against concentration was plotted. Correlation coefficient and regression line equations were calculated.

Linearity range was established through consideration of required practical range and according to each drug concentration present in the pharmaceutical product, to give accurate, precise and linear results.

#### Precision

#### Repeatability

The data for repeatability of peak area measurement for CDZ (10  $\mu$ g/ml), TFP (1  $\mu$ g/ml) and THP (2  $\mu$ g/ml), based on six measurements of same solution of CDZ (10  $\mu$ g/ml), TFP (1  $\mu$ g/ml) and THP (2  $\mu$ g/ml),.The % RSD for CDZ,TFP and THP was found to be 0.271,0.249 and 1.153 respectively.

#### **Intraday Precision**

Standard solution containing (0.359,0.148,0.554  $\mu$ g/ml) of CDZ and (0.198,0.200,0.312/ml) of TFP and (0.914,0.568,1.062  $\mu$ g/ml) of THP were analyzed three times on the same day and % R.S.D was calculated.

#### **Interday Precision:**

The inter-day precision of the proposed method was determined by measuring the corresponding responses on 3 different days over a period of 1 week for 3 different concentration of Standard solution containing (0.236,0.979,0.252  $\mu$ g/ml) of CDZ and (0.199,0.757,0.806  $\mu$ g/ml) of TFP and (0.385,0.540,1.290  $\mu$ g/ml) of THP were analyzed three times on the same day and % R.S.D was calculated.

#### Accuracy (% Recovery)

The accuracy of the method was determined by calculating recovery of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl by the Standard addition method.

# ✓ For CDZ

10 µg/ml drug solution was taken in three different flask label A, B and C. Spiked 80%, 100%, 120% of standard solution in it and diluted up to 10ml. The area of each solution peak was measured at 228 nm. The amount of CDZ was calculated at each level and % recoveries were computed.

# For TFP

1  $\mu$ g/ml drug solution was taken in three different flask label A, B and C. Spiked 80%, 100%, 120% of standard solution in it and diluted up to 10ml. The area of each solution peak was measured at 228 nm. The amount of TFP was calculated at each level and % recoveries were computed.

✓ For THP

2  $\mu$ g/ml drug solution was taken in three different flask label A, B and C. Spiked 80%, 100%, 120% of standard solution in it and diluted up to 10ml. The area of each solution peak was measured at 228 nm. The amount of THP was calculated at each level and % recoveries were computed.

#### Table 1: Linearity data for CDZ.

Sr.No	Concentration (µg/ml)	Area
1	5	776.733
2	7.5	1162.735
3	10	1570.086
4	12.5	1949.034
5	15	2348.984

Limit of detection and Limit of quantification

The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated using the standard deviation of y-intercept of calibration curve ( $\sigma$ ) and average of slope (S) of the calibration curve.

LOD =  $3.3 \times \sigma / s$ ,

 $LOQ = 10 \times \sigma / s$ 

# Robustness

The robustness was studied by analyzing the sample of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl by deliberate variation in the method parameters. The change in the response was noted. Robustness of the method was studied by changing different experimental conditions like temperature of column by  $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C, Flow rate by  $\pm 0.2$  ml/min, Mobile phase by  $\pm 2^{\circ}$ .

# **RESULT AND DISCUSSION:**

#### Validation parameters:

#### Linearity:

The linearity for CDZ, TFP and THP were assessed by analysis of combined standard solution in range of 5-15  $\mu$ g/ml, 0.5-1.5  $\mu$ g/ml and 1-3  $\mu$ g/ml respectively, solutions were pipette out from the Stock solution of CDZ(10  $\mu$ g/ml), TFP (1  $\mu$ g/ml) and THP (2  $\mu$ g/ml) and transfer to 100 ml volumetric flask and make up with mobile phase to obtain 5,7.5,10,12.5 and 15  $\mu$ g/ml, 0.5,0.75,1,1.25 and 1.5  $\mu$ g/ml and 1,1.5,2,2.5 and 3  $\mu$ g/ml for CDZ, TFP and THP respectively.

In term of slope, intercept and correlation co-efficient value. The graph of peak area obtained verses respective concentration was plotted. Correlation co-efficient for calibration curve CDZ, TFP and THP was found to be 0.999, 0.999 and 0.999 respectively.

The regression line equation for CDZ, TFP and THP are as following:

For CDZ: **y** = **156.7x** - **7.929** For TFP: **y** = **387.8x** - **4.668** For THP **y** = **851.7x** - **5.533** 

Table 2: Linearity data for TFP.					
Sr.No	Concentration	n Area			
	(µg/ml)				
1	0.5	384.037			
2	0.75	574.785			
3	1.0	776.051			
4	1.25	963.331			
5	1.5	1162.027			
Table 3 : Linearity data for THP					
Sr.No	Concentration	Area			
	(µg/ml)				
1	1	420.91			
2	1.5	630.213			
3	2	851.093			
4	2.5	1056.653			
5	3	1272.432			
[mv]					
400		5 5 7			
300 8 200		4,710 X			
100-					
0	2	4 6 8 Time [min]			

Figure 1:Overlay chromatogram of different concentrations of mixtures of CDZ ,TFP and THP







Figure 3: Calibration Curve of TFP (0.5-1.5 µg/ml).



Figure 4: Calibration Curve of THP (1-3  $\mu g/ml).$  Precision

# Repeatability

The data for repeatability of peak area measurement for CDZ (10  $\mu$ g/ml), TFP (1  $\mu$ g/ml) and THP (2  $\mu$ g/ml), based on six measurements of same solution of CDZ (10  $\mu$ g/ml), TFP (1  $\mu$ g/ml) and THP (2  $\mu$ g/ml).The % RSD for CDZ,TFP and THP was found to be 0.271,0.249 and 1.153 respectively.

	Table 4: repeatability data for TFP.				
		CDZ			
Sr	Conc	Area	Mean ± S.D	%	
No.	(µg/ml)	1565.283	(n=6)	R.S.D	
		1563.976			
1.	10	1566.882	1568.45±4.25	0.271	
		1570.032			
		1568.622			
1		1575.875			
	Table: Repeatability data for CDZ.				

		TFP		
Sr	Conc.	Area	Mean ± S.D	% R.S.D
No.	(µg/ml)		(n=6)	
		773.736		

		775.27		
1.	1	774.495	775.93	0.249
		776.049	±1.93	
		776.831		
		779.169		

# Table 5: repeatability data for THP.

		THP		
Sr No.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area	Mean ± S.D (n=6)	% R.S.D
		848.569		
1	2	850.25	850.45	1 152
1.	2	849.382	±1.30	1.155
		851.102		
		851.945		
		851.473		

# II. Intraday precision

Standard solution containing (5-15  $\mu$ g/ml) of CDZ and (0.5-1.5  $\mu$ g/ml) of TFP and (1-3  $\mu$ g/ml) of THP were analyzed three times on the same day and % R.S.D was calculated.

# Table 6: Intraday precision data for estimation of CDZ

		CDZ	
SR. NO.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area Mean ± S.D. (n=3)	% R.S.D
1	5	769.78 ± 2.76	0.359
2	10	1558.92± 2.31	0.148
3	15	3498.33± 19.38	0.554

# Table 7: Intraday precision data for estimation of TFP

TER

	IFP				
SR. NO.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area Mean ± S.D. (n=3)	% R.S.D		
1	0.5	381.73 ± 0.76	0.198		
2	1	771.40± 1.53	0.200		

3	1.5	1734.56 ± 5.42	0.312
Table	e 8: Intraday	precision data for estim	ation of THP
		THP	
SR. NO.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area Mean ± S.D. (n=3)	% R.S.D
1	1	416.24 ± 3.80	0.914
2	2	844.11± 4.80	0.568
3	3	1886.32 ± 20.03	1.062

# **III. Interday precision**

Standard solution containing (5-15 $\mu$ g/ml) of CDZ and (0.5-1.5  $\mu$ g/ml) of TFP and (1-3  $\mu$ g/ml) of THP were analyzed three times on the different day and % R.S.D was calculated.

Table 9: Interday	precision data	for estimation of	CDZ
-------------------	----------------	-------------------	-----

		CDZ	
SR. NO.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area Mean ± S.D. (n=3)	% R.S.D
1	5	769.55 ± 1.82	0.236
2	10	1544.75± 15.12	0.979
3	15	3502.97± 8.83	0.252

#### Table 10: Interday precision data for estimation of TFP.

		TFP	
SR. NO.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area Mean ± S.D. (n=3)	% R.S.D
1	0.5	381.36 ± 0.76	0.199
2	1	768.07± 5.82	0.757
3	1.5	1723.19 ± 13.88	0.806

#### Table 11: Interday precision data for estimation of THP.

		ТНР	
SR. NO.	Conc. (µg/ml)	Area Mean ± S.D. (n=3)	% R.S.D
1	1	416.99 ± 1.60	0.385

ISSN NO.	2271-3681
----------	-----------

2	2	843.80± 4.56	0.540
3	3	1886.90 ± 19.43	1.29

#### Accuracy:

# ✓ For CDZ

10 μg/ml drug solution was taken in three different flask label A, B and C. Spiked 80%, 100%, 120% of standard solution in it and diluted up to 10ml. The area of each solution peak was measured at 228 nm. The amount of CDZ was calculated at each level and % recoveries were computed.

# For TFP

1  $\mu$ g/ml drug solution was taken in three different flask label A, B and C. Spiked 80%, 100%, 120% of standard solution in it and diluted up to 10ml. The area of each solution peak was measured at 228 nm. The amount of TFP was calculated at each level and % recoveries were computed.

#### For THP

 $2 \ \mu$ g/ml drug solution was taken in three different flask label A, B and C. Spiked 80%, 100%, 120% of standard solution in it and diluted up to 10ml. The area of each solution peak was measured at 228 nm. The amount of THP was calculated at each level and % recoveries were computed.

# Table 12: Recovery data for CDZ.

	Con	Samp		Amoun	%	%
	с.	le	Amou	t	Recov	Mean
SR	Lev	amou	nt	recove	ery	Recov
•	el	nt	Adde	red		ery ±
Ν	(%)	(µg/	d	(µg/ml		S.D
0.		ml)	(µg/	)		
			ml)			
1	80	5	4	3.93	98.17	99.81
-	%	_				± 1.48
2		5	4	4.04	101.02	
3		5	4	4.01	100.24	
1	100	5	5	1 00	08 02	00 27
4	%	5	5	4.50	50.02	+ 1 09
5	70	5	5	5.00	100.09	1.09
6		5	5	4.98	99.70	
7	120	-	C	F 00	00.00	00 57
/	120	5	б	5.99	99.83	99.57
8	%	5	6	5.95	99.13	± 0.38
Ũ		2	2	2.00		

9	5	6	5.99	99.75	

Table 13: Recovery data for TFP									
SR N	Con c. Lev el (%)	Samp le Amou nt	Amou nt Adde d	Amoun t recove red (μg/ml	% Recov ery	% Mean Recov ery ± S.D			
0.			~ ~ ~	)					
1	80	0.5	0.4	0.40	98.77	99.99			
2	%	0.5	0.4	0.40	100.99	± 1.12			
3		0.5	0.4	0.40	100.22				
4	100 %	0.5	0.5	0.49	98.97	99.57 + 0.56			
5	70	0.5	0.5	0.50	100.07	± 0.50			
6		0.5	0.5	0.50	99.67				
7	120 %	0.5	0.6	0.60	100.28	99.70			
8	/0	0.5	0.6	0.59	99.10	± 0.59			
9		0.5	0.6	0.60	99.72				

#### Table 14: Recovery data for THP

SR N O.	Con c. Lev el (%)	Samp le Amou nt	Amou nt Adde d	Amoun t recove red (μg/ml )	% Recov ery	% Mean Recov ery ± S.D
1	80	1	0.8	0.79	98.88	99.41
2	%	1	0.8	0.79	99.03	± 0.80
3		1	0.8	0.80	100.33	
4	100	1	1	0.99	99.08	99.22
5	%	1	1	0.99	98.79	± 0.51
6		1	1	1.00	99.79	
7	120	1	1.2	1.20	100.41	99.44
8	%	1	1.2	1.18	98.08	± 1.22
9		1	1.2	1.20	99.84	

# LOD and LOQ:

Calibration curve was repeated for five times and the standard deviation (SD) of the intercepts was calculated. Then LOD and LOQ were calculated as follows:

# LOD = 3.3 \* SD/slope of calibration curve LOQ = 10 \* SD/slope of calibration curve Where, SD = Standard deviation of

intercepts

Limit of Detection:

Table 15: Limit of Detection data for CDZ and TFP and

	THP.	
CDZ	TFP	ТНР
LOD = 3.3 x (SD /	LOD = 3.3 x (SD /	LOD = 3.3 x (SD /
Slope)	Slope)	Slope)
= 3.3 x	= 3.3 x	= 3.3 x
(11.709/156.7)	(5.784/387.8)	(6.415/851.7)
= 0.246	= 0.049	= 0.002
µg/ml	μg/ml	μg/ml

# Limit of Quantitation:

Table 16: Limit of Quantitation data for CDZ and TFP

CDZ	TFP	ТНР
LOQ = 10 x (SD /	LOQ = 10 x ( SD	LOQ = 10 x (SD
Slope)	/ Slope )	/ Slope)
= 10 x	= 10 x	= 3.3 x
(11.709/156.7)	(5.784/387.8)	(6.415/851.7)
= 0.747 μg/ml	= 0.149	= 0.007
	µg/ml	µg/ml

# Robustness:

Following parameters were changed one by one and their effect was observed on system suitability for standard preparation.

- 1. Flow rate of mobile phase was changed (± 0.2 ml/min) 0.8 ml/min and 1.2 ml/min.
- 2. pH of Mobile phase was changed ( $\pm$  0.2 ) 3.3 and 3.7.
- Ratio of Mobile phase was changed (±2) Buffer : Acetonitrile (82:18) and Buffer : Acetonitrile (78:22)

# Table 17: Robustness data for CDZ.

SR NO	Area at Flow rate (- 0.2	Area at Flow rate (+ 0.2	Area at pH (- 0.2)	Area at pH (+0.2)	Area at Mobil e phase	Area at Mobil e phase
	ml/mi	ml/mi			(-2)	(+2)
	n)	n)				
1	1611.	1521.	1597.	1488.	1595.	1520.
	009	656	439	475	1	022
2	1629.	1533.	1612.	1502.	1614.	1533.
	813	886	474	462	094	886
3	1639.	1538.	1623.	1508.	1618.	1544.
	308	695	572	516	7	878

%	0.885	0.574	0.814	0.685	0.777	0.812
R.S						
.D						

Table 18: Robustness data for TFP								
SR	Area	Area	Area	Area	Area	Area at		
NO.	at	at	at	at	at	Mobile		
	Flow	Flow	рН (-	рН (+	Mobil	phase(		
	rate	rate	0.2)	0.2)	е	+2)		
	(- 0.2	(+ 0.2			phas			
	ml/m	ml/m			e(-2)			
	in)	in)						
1	800.9	753.5	791.5	738.0	793.1	753.54		
	12	4	56	1	48			
2	805.6	758.1	801.9	742.6	797.8	758.18		
	09	88	85	55	37	8		
3	810.3	760.5	802.5	747.2	799.4	763.61		
	16	36	35	93	19			
%	0.584	0.470	0.774	0.625	0.409	0.664		
R.S.								
D								

# Table 19: Robustness data for THP.

SR NO.	Area at Flow rate (- 0.2 ml/m in)	Area at Flow rate (+ 0.2 ml/m in)	Area at pH (- 0.2)	Area at pH (+ 0.2)	Area at Mobil e phas e(-2)	Area at Mobile phase( +2)
1	878.3	826.4	868.0	809.4	869.8	826.44
	45	48	92	48	4	8
2	871.1	819.8	871.9	805.5	872.8	821.57
	87	04	91	84	66	4
3	888.6	834.1	880.1	819.5	877.4	837.46
	35	12	04	9	63	4
%	0.997	0.866	0.702	0.891	0.439	0.982
R.S.						
D						

# Analysis of marketed formulation by developed method Sample Stock Solution (CDZ 100 $\mu$ g/mL, TFP 10 $\mu$ g/mL and THP 20 $\mu$ g/mL):

Take Crushed Tablet powder equivalent to 10 mg of CDZ, 1 mg TFP and 2 mg of THP was transferred to a 100 ml volumetric flask, Add 60 ml Mobile phase and Shake for 15 min and make up volume with Mobile phase. The solution was filtered through Whatman filter paper no. 42.

# Working Sample Preparation (CDZ 10 $\mu g/mL,$ TFP 1 $\mu g/mL$ and THP 2 $\mu g/mL):$

Take 1 mL from this and transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask and made up volume up to the mark with Borate

Buffer. Use This Solution for Derivatization as Mention Below.

Take 0.1ml of **working sample (CDZ+TFP+THP)** to a 10ml volumetric flask. Incubate this solution at 50C for 15 minutes in a waterbath.Inject above Solution 20  $\mu$ l for Assay Analysis.

Table 20: Analysis on marketed formulation							
Tablet		Chlozep Plus					
mg/Table t powder	CDZ (10 mg)	TFP (1 mg)	THP (2 mg)				
Assay (% of label claim*) Mean ± S. D.	100.05±0.54 4	101.45±0.31 3	100.92±0.27 7				

The assay results were comparable to labeled value of each drug in Tablet dosage form. These results indicate that the developed method is accurate, precise, simple and rapid. It can be used in the routine quality control of dosage form in industries.

# CONCLUSION

In Estimation of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl in their combined tablet dosage form, separation was achieved on  $C_{18}$  (25cm x 0.46 cm) Hypersil BDS at 30°C temperature by using a mobile phase Buffer (Potassium pH 3.5): Acetonitrile :TEA (80:20:0.1 v/v/v) at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min and UV detection for Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl was carried out at 228 nm. Data suggests that the results obtained were found within the acceptance criteria.

Results of the validation for Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl of the above method were linear in the range of 5-15  $\mu$ g/ml,1-3  $\mu$ g/ml and 0.5-1.5  $\mu$ g/ml respectively. The % recovery was found to be **99.27 ± 1.09 %, 99.57 ± 0.56 %** and **99.22 ± 0.51 %** respectively. The results of the precision study indicate that the proposed method shown good repeatability with a % RSD of 0.271, 0.249 and 1.153 respectively. Similarly %RSD from the intraday precision data was found to be 0.148-0.553 %, 0.198% - 0.312%, 0.568% - 1.062% respectively and %RSD from the Interday precision data were found to be 0.236-0.978 %, 0.198-0.805 %, and 0.384-1.029% respectively. Absolute difference between mean assay values of method precision and intermediate precision was found to be less than 2.0 %. Robustness is performed by making changes in flow rate, Mobile phase composition and temperature. The assay obtained after proposed changes compared with the assay obtained in normal conditions. According to the acceptance criteria difference in the assay should not be more than 2%. The results obtained are well within the acceptance criteria.

Hence, the method can be termed as robust. Since the results are well within the limit of acceptance criteria for all validation parameters, therefore the method can be considered as validated and suitable for intended use. So, the proposed RP-HPLC assay method can be successfully applied for the estimation of Chlordiazepoxide, Trihexyphenidyl HCl and Trifluoperazine HCl in their combined tablet dosage form.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Words of gratefulness are expressed for God; My family; A-One College of Pharmacy, Anasan, Ahmadebad, India; Staff members of A-one college of Pharmacy; Dr. Mandev B. Patel for providing all kind support.

#### REFERENCES

1. Goyal R.K., "Derasari and Gandhi's Elements of Pharmacology", 21st Edition, B. S. Shah Prakashan, 2010-2011, Pg. 340-349.

2. Rang and Del's Pharmacology; 6th Edn; Churchil levingstone Publishers (P) Ltd, New York, 2004, pp 545-548, 536-542.

3. British Pharmacopoeia Volume I & II Monographs: Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Substances, monograph of chlodiazepoxide.

4. USP30-NF25, Pharmacopeial Forum : Vol. No. 29(6) Page 1712

5. Kothapelly R, Nalluri LP, Gaddam V, Rachawar NK, Akuthota AK" UV Spectrophotometric Method Development And Validation For The Simultaneous Quantitative Estimation Of Mebeverine Hydrochloride And Chlordiazepoxide In Capsules"int. j .of Pharma. sci., 2014, 345-349.

6. Pathak A, Rai P and Rajput SJ" Stability-Indicating HPLC Method for Simultaneous Determination of Clidinium Bromide and Chlordiazepoxide in Combined Dosage Forms" J. of Chrom. Sci., 2008, Volume 48, Issue 3. 235-239.

7. Gupta SP, Upmanyu N and Garg G" Development and validation of spectrophotometric, HPTLC and HPLC methods for the determination of Imipramine and

Chlordiazepoxide in pharmaceutical dosage forms "Der Pharmacia Sinica, 2012, vol. 3 (2):185-192.

8. Sujatha N, Pavani KH" Analytical Method Development And Validation Of Amitriptyline Hydrochloride And Chlordiazepoxide In Tablet By Rp-Hplc "Ind. J of Research in Pharm and Bio., 2013, vo., issue 5, pp 655-659.

9. Heneedak HM, Salama I, Mostafa S and El-Sadek M" A Stability-indicating HPLC Method for the Simultaneous Determination of Mebeverine Hydrochloride and Chlordiazepoxide in Commercial Tablets" J. of bentha sci. 2014, vol. 10, issue 4.

10. Chatwal G R., Sham A K., "Instrumental Methods Of Analysis", 5th Edition, Himalaya Publishing House, New Delhi, 2002, Pg 256.

11. Ahuja S., Scypinski S., "Handbook of Modern Pharmaceutical Analysis", Volume - VI, Elsexier Publication, 2009, Pg 349.

12. Dhabab JM, Taufeeq AH, Tarik Ak" Simultaneous Determination of Fluphenazine, Trifluoperazine, and Prochloroperazine in Pharmaceutical Preparations by HPLC Method" J. Int. Env. App. & Sci., 2012 Vol. 7 (3): pp 503-510.

13. Sharma C and Sharma S" Development and Validation of Method for Simultaneous Estimation of Trifluoperazine Hydrochloride from Capsule Dosage Form Using Citric Acid".

14. Bhaskar Reddy CM, Subba Reddy GV, Kumar Reddy NA" Development and Validation of U V Spectrophotometric Method for Determination of Trifluoperazine Hydrochloride in bulk and Pharmaceutical Dosage Form "Int. J. of Sci. and Res.2012, Volume 2, Issue 8, pp 1-5

15. Philip J Koerner, "General Principles of HPLC method development".

16. Charde MS.; Sheikh I; Dhole SK, Avinash V." Development of two wavelength method for simultaneous estimation of Benzhexol Hcl and Trifluoperazine Hcl in their combined dosage form" J. of Pharma. Res. ;2010, Vol. 3 Issue 9, pp 2158

